



CIT Group Inc.

PILLAR 3 REGULATORY CAPITAL DISCLOSURES

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2016

PILLAR 3 REQUIREMENT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE REFERENCE	
		Q2 2016 FORM 10-Q	2015 FORM 10-K
OVERVIEW	Organization	66	112
	Business Segments	62-63	194-195
	Indemnification Assets		119
	Capital Requirement		93
SCOPE OF APPLICATION	Basis of Consolidation	7	112
	Transfer of Funds or Capital Restrictions		13, 14, 160, 161
CAPITAL STRUCTURE	Regulatory Capital Tiers	56	176
CAPITAL ADEQUACY	Capital Management	109	92-93
	Risk-Based Capital Ratios	56	176
CAPITAL CONSERVATION BUFFER	Required Ratios	57	10
CREDIT RISK	Risk Management		82-83
	Policy:		
	1. Past Due and Nonaccrual Status		116
	2. Returning Loans to Accrual Status		115
	3. Impaired Loans	20-22	141-143
	4. Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses		115-116
	5. Charging Off Uncollectible Amounts		117
	Changes in Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses ..	26	147
Maturities of Loans		73	
COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK	Counterparty Risk Management		83
	Collateral: Derivative Financial Instruments		122
	Collateral: Credit Derivatives	106	90
	Derivative Financial Instruments	41	163
	Cash Collateral	42	164
SECURITIZATION	Variable Interest Entities	39-40	161-162
	Private Label Mortgage Backed Securities		118
EQUITY EXPOSURES	Evaluation of Investments		117-118
	Type of Investments	31	152
	Gains (Losses)		178
INTEREST RATE RISK	Risk Management	102-103	83-85

OVERVIEW

ORGANIZATION

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries (collectively “CIT” or the “Company”), has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. The Company provides financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries primarily in North America, and equipment financing and leasing solutions to the transportation industry worldwide. CIT became a bank holding company (“BHC”) in December 2008 and a financial holding company (“FHC”) in July 2013. Through its bank subsidiary, CIT Bank, N.A., CIT provides a full range of commercial and consumer banking and related services to customers through 70 branches located in Southern California and its online bank, bankoncit.com.

Effective August 3, 2015, CIT Group Inc. (“CIT”) acquired IMB HoldCo LLC (“IMB”), the parent company of OneWest Bank, National Association, a national bank (“OneWest Bank”). CIT Bank, a Utah-state chartered bank and a wholly owned subsidiary of CIT, merged with and into OneWest Bank (the “OneWest Transaction”), with OneWest Bank surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of CIT with the name CIT Bank, National Association (“CIT Bank, N.A.” or “CIT Bank”).

CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“FRB”) and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (“FRBNY”) under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. CIT Bank, N.A. is regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, U.S. Department of the Treasury (“OCC”).

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

CIT changed its segment reporting effective January 1, 2016, following the previously announced reorganized management structure. CIT manages its business and reports its financial results in four operating segments: Commercial Banking, Transportation Finance, Consumer and Community Banking, and Non-Strategic Portfolios (“NSP”), and a fifth non-operating segment, Corporate and Other.

The following summarizes changes to our segment presentation from December 31, 2015:

- Commercial Banking (formerly North America Banking or “NAB”) no longer includes the Consumer Banking division or the Canadian lending and equipment finance business. Commercial Banking is comprised of three divisions, Commercial Finance, Real Estate Finance, and Business Capital. Business Capital includes the former Equipment Finance and Commercial Services divisions.
- Transportation Finance (formerly Transportation & International Finance or “TIF”) no longer includes the China and the U.K. businesses. Transportation Finance is comprised of three divisions, Aerospace, Rail, and Maritime Finance.
- Consumer and Community Banking is a new segment that includes Legacy Consumer Mortgages (the former LCM segment) and other banking divisions that were included in the former NAB segment (Consumer Banking, Mortgage Lending, Wealth Management, and SBA Lending).
- NSP includes businesses that we no longer consider strategic, including those in Canada, China and the recently exited U.K., that had been included in the former NAB and TIF segments.

Commercial Banking provides a range of lending, leasing and deposit products, as well as ancillary products and services, including factoring, cash management and advisory services, to small and medium-sized companies and consumers in the U.S. Lending products include revolving lines of credit and term loans and, depending on the nature and quality of the collateral, may be referred to as asset-based loans or cash flow loans. These are primarily composed of senior secured loans collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery & equipment, real estate, and intangibles, to finance the various needs of our customers, such as working capital, plant expansion, acquisitions and recapitalizations. Loans are originated through direct relationships with borrowers or through relationships with private

equity sponsors. Revenues generated by Commercial Banking include interest earned on loans, rents collected on leased assets, fees and other revenue from banking and leasing activities and capital markets transactions, and commissions earned on factoring and related activities.

Transportation Finance offers secured lending and leasing products to midsize and larger companies across the aerospace, rail and maritime industries. Revenues are generated by rents collected on leased assets, interest on loans, fees, and gains from assets sold.

Consumer and Community Banking, through its 70 branches and on-line channel, offers deposits and lending to borrowers who are buying or refinancing homes and custom loan products tailored to the clients' financial needs. Products include checking, savings, certificates of deposit, residential mortgage loans, and investment advisory services. The segment includes a wealth management group that offers banking services to high net worth individuals. The segment also originates qualified Small Business Administration ("SBA") 504 and 7(a) loans.

Consumer and Community Banking also consists of legacy portfolios of single family residential mortgages and reverse mortgages, certain of which are covered by loss sharing agreements with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). Certain Covered Loans in this segment were previously acquired by OneWest Bank in connection with the IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB ("IndyMac"), First Federal Bank of California, FSB ("First Federal") and La Jolla Bank, FSB ("La Jolla") transactions. The FDIC indemnified OneWest Bank against certain future losses sustained on these loans. CIT may now be reimbursed for losses under the terms of the loss share agreements with the FDIC. Eligible losses are submitted to the FDIC for reimbursement when a qualifying loss event occurs (e.g., due to foreclosure, short-sale, charge-offs or a restructuring of a single family residential mortgage loan pursuant to an agreed upon loan modification framework). Reimbursements approved by the FDIC are usually received within 60 days of submission.

NSP consists of portfolios that we no longer consider strategic. The 2016 balances reflect activity from portfolios in Canada and China, as well as from the sale of a U.K portfolio. These portfolios include equipment financing, secured

lending and leasing to small and middle-market businesses.

Certain items are not allocated to operating segments and are included in Corporate & Other. Some of the more significant items include interest income on investment securities, a portion of interest expense, primarily related to corporate liquidity costs (interest expense), mark-to-market adjustments on non-qualifying derivatives (Other Income), restructuring charges for severance and facilities exit activities (operating expenses), certain intangible asset amortization expenses (other expenses) and loss on debt extinguishments.

INDEMNIFICATION ASSETS

Prior to the acquisition of OneWest Bank by CIT, OneWest Bank, was party to certain shared loss agreements with the FDIC related to its acquisitions of IndyMac, First Federal and La Jolla. As part of CIT's acquisition of OneWest Bank, CIT is now party to these loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The loss sharing agreements generally require CIT Bank, N.A. to obtain FDIC approval prior to transferring or selling loans and related indemnification assets. Eligible losses are submitted to the FDIC for reimbursement when a qualifying loss event occurs (e.g., loan modifications, charge-off of loan balance or liquidation of collateral). Reimbursements approved by the FDIC are usually received within 60 days of submission.

The IndyMac transaction encompassed multiple loss sharing agreements that provided protection from certain losses related to purchased single family residential ("SFR") loans and reverse mortgage proprietary loans. In addition, CIT is party to the FDIC agreement to indemnify OneWest Bank, subject to certain requirements and limitations, for third party claims from the Government Sponsored Enterprises ("GSEs" or "Agencies") related to IndyMac selling representations and warranties, as well as liabilities arising from the acts or omissions (including, without limitation, breaches of servicer obligations) of IndyMac as servicer.

The loss sharing arrangements related to the First Federal and La Jolla transactions also provide protection from certain losses related to certain purchased assets, specifically the SFR loans.

All of the loss sharing agreements are accounted for as indemnification assets and were initially recognized at estimated fair value as of the acquisition date based on the discounted present value of expected future cash flows under the respective loss sharing agreements pursuant to ASC 805. As of the acquisition date, the First Federal loss share agreement had a zero fair value given the expiration of the commercial loan portion in December 2014 and management's expectation not to reach the first stated threshold for the SFR mortgage loan portion, which expires in December 2019. As of the acquisition date, the La Jolla loss share agreement had a negligible indemnification asset value. Under the La Jolla loss share agreement, the FDIC indemnifies the eligible credit losses for SFR and commercial loans.

On a subsequent basis, the indemnification asset is measured on the same basis of accounting as the indemnified loans (e.g., as purchased credit impaired ("PCI") loans under the effective yield method). A yield is determined based on the expected cash flows to be collected from the FDIC over the recorded investment. The expected cash flows on the indemnification asset are reviewed and updated on a quarterly basis.

Changes in expected cash flows caused by changes in market interest rates or by prepayments of principal are recognized as adjustments to the effective yield on a prospective basis in interest income. In some cases, the cash flows expected to be collected from the indemnified loans may improve so that the related indemnification asset is no longer expected to be fully recovered. For PCI loans with an associated indemnification asset, if the increase in expected cash flows is recognized through a higher yield, a lower and potentially negative yield (i.e. due to a decline in expected cash flows in excess of the current carrying value) is applied to the related indemnification asset to mirror an accounting offset for the indemnified loans. Any negative yield is determined based on the remaining term of the indemnification agreement. Both accretion (positive yield) and amortization (negative yield) from the indemnification asset are recognized in interest income on loans over the lesser of the contractual term of the indemnification agreement or the remaining life of the indemnified loans. A decrease in expected cash flows is recorded in the indemnification asset for the portion that previously was expected to be

reimbursed from the FDIC resulting in an increase in the Provision for credit losses that was previously recorded in the Allowance for loan losses.

In connection with the IndyMac transaction, the Company has an indemnification receivable for estimated reimbursements due from the FDIC for loss exposure arising from breach in origination and servicing obligations associated with covered reverse mortgage loans prior to March 2009 pursuant to the loss share agreement with the FDIC. The indemnification receivable uses the same assumptions used to measure the indemnified item (contingent liability) subject to management's assessment of the collectability of the indemnification asset and any contractual limitations on the indemnified amount.

In connection with the La Jolla transaction, the Company recorded a separate FDIC true-up liability for an estimated payment due to the FDIC at the expiry of the loss share agreement, given the estimated cumulative losses of the acquired covered assets are projected to be lower than the cumulative losses originally estimated by the FDIC at inception of the loss share agreement. There is no FDIC true-up liability recorded in connection with the First Federal transaction based on the projected loss estimates at this time. There is also no FDIC true-up liability recorded in connection with the IndyMac transaction as it was not required. This liability represents contingent consideration to the FDIC and is re-measured at estimated fair value on a quarterly basis, with the changes in fair value recognized in noninterest expense.

CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements. We compute capital ratios in accordance with Federal Reserve capital guidelines for assessing adequacy of capital.

In July 2013, federal banking regulators published the final Basel III capital framework for U.S. banking organizations (the "Regulatory Capital Rules"). While the Regulatory Capital Rules became effective January 1, 2014, the mandatory compliance date for CIT as a "standardized approach" banking organization began on January 1, 2015, subject to transitional provisions extending to January 1, 2019.

PILLAR 3 REPORTING

This document presents the Pillar 3 Disclosures in compliance with Basel III as described in Subpart D – Risk-weighted Assets – Standardized Approach of the Basel III Final Rule. These Pillar 3 Disclosures should be read in conjunction with the Company’s Pillar 3 Regulatory Capital Disclosures Report for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2015, as well as the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2016.

SCOPE OF APPLICATION

BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include financial information related to CIT Group Inc. and its majority-owned subsidiaries and those variable interest entities (“VIEs”) where the Company is the primary beneficiary (“PB”).

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, all significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Assets held in an agency or fiduciary capacity are not included in the consolidated financial statements.

TRANSFER OF FUNDS OR CAPITAL RESTRICTIONS

Creditors VIEs received ownership and/or security interests in the assets. These entities are intended to be bankruptcy remote so that such assets are not available to creditors of CIT or any affiliates of CIT until and unless the related secured borrowings have been fully discharged. Generally, third-party investors in the obligations of the consolidated VIEs have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have recourse to the Company beyond certain specific provisions that are customary for secured financing transactions, such as asset repurchase obligations for breaches of representations and warranties. In addition, the assets are generally restricted to pay only such liabilities.

Transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries, and CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates, are regulated pursuant to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. These regulations limit the types and amounts of transactions (including loans due and credit extensions from CIT Bank or its subsidiaries to CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) as well as restrict certain other transactions (such as the purchase of existing loans or other assets by CIT Bank or its subsidiaries from CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) that may otherwise take place and generally require those transactions to be on an arms-length basis and, in the case of extensions of credit, be secured by specified amounts and types of collateral. These regulations generally do not apply to transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries.

The ability of CIT to pay dividends on common stock may be affected by, among other things,

various capital requirements, particularly the capital and non-capital standards established for depository institutions under FDICIA, which may limit the ability of CIT Bank to pay dividends to CIT. The right of CIT, its stockholders, and its creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of its subsidiaries is further subject to prior claims of creditors of CIT Bank and CIT’s other subsidiaries.

OCC regulations impose limitations on the payment of dividends by CIT Bank. These regulations limit dividends if the total amount of all dividends (common and preferred) declared in any current year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total net income for the current year to date plus any retained net income for the prior two years, less the sum of any transfers required by the OCC and any transfers required to fund the retirement of any preferred stock. If the dividend in either of the prior two years exceeded that year’s net income, the excess shall not reduce the net income for the three year period described above, provided the amount of excess dividends for either of the prior two years can be offset by retained net income in the current year minus three years or the current year minus four years.

REGULATED SUBSIDIARIES’ CAPITAL

As of June 30, 2016, total capital, as defined by the applicable regulations, for CIT’s regulated banking subsidiary was \$5.4 billion, and for CIT’s regulated insurance and broker dealer subsidiaries were \$7.4 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. All of these entities were in compliance with their respective minimum total capital requirements as of June 30, 2016.

CAPITAL STRUCTURE

CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS

CIT's qualifying regulatory capital instruments consist only of common stock. Each share of common stock entitles the holder to one voting right for the election of the directors and for other significant matters to be voted on by the shareholders. The holders of the common stock vote as one class. Should CIT ever liquidate, dissolve or wind-up, the holders of common stock would share ratably in the assets remaining and available for distribution after payments to creditors including depositors. There are no preemptive or other subscription rights, conversion rights or redemption or schedule installment payment provisions relating to the common stock.

For additional information regarding CIT common stock refer to the Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities section in Part Two, Item 5 on page 33 of CIT's 2015 Form 10-K.

REGULATORY CAPITAL TIERS

The components of capital and the calculation of Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total Qualifying Capital are as follows:

Regulatory Capital Tiers (dollars in millions)	
	June 30, 2016
Tier 1 Capital	
Common stock, \$0.1 par value	\$2.1
Paid in capital	8,749.8
Retained earnings	2,656.9
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(107.7)
Treasury stock	(177.0)
Total stockholders' equity	\$11,124.1
Effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive loss excluded from Tier 1 Capital and qualifying noncontrolling interest	61.0
Adjusted total equity	11,185.1
Less: Goodwill	(1,105.2)
Disallowed deferred tax assets	(842.4)
Disallowed intangible assets	(75.8)
Other Tier 1 components	(5.3)
Common Equity Tier 1 Capital	9,156.4
Tier 1 Capital	9,156.4
Tier 2 Capital	
Qualifying allowance for credit losses and other reserves	444.3
Other Tier 2 components	0.1
Total qualifying capital	\$9,600.8

CAPITAL ADEQUACY

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

CIT manages its capital position to ensure that it is sufficient to: (i) support the risks of its businesses, (ii) maintain a “well-capitalized” status under regulatory requirements, and (iii) provide flexibility to take advantage of future investment opportunities. Capital in excess of these requirements is available to distribute to shareholders, subject to a “non-objection” to our capital plan from the FRB.

CIT uses a complement of capital metrics and related thresholds to measure capital adequacy taking into account the existing regulatory capital framework. CIT further evaluates capital adequacy through the enterprise stress testing and economic capital (“ECAP”) approaches, which constitutes our capital adequacy process.

CIT’s capital management is discussed further in the “Regulation” section of *Item 1. Business Overview* with respect to regulatory matters, including “*Capital Requirements*” and “*Stress Test and Capital Plan Requirements*.” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As a BHC in excess of \$50 billion of assets, CIT is subject to enhanced prudential regulation under the Dodd-Frank Act. Among other requirements, CIT is subject to capital planning and stress testing requirements under the FRB’s Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (“CCAR”) process, which requires CIT to submit an annual capital plan and demonstrate that it can meet required adequate capital levels over a nine quarter planning horizon after taking into account the impact of stresses based on both supervisory and company-specific scenarios.

CIT submitted its first CCAR capital plan to the Federal Reserve in April 2016. As this filing was a private submission, the FRB did not publish its findings but informed CIT that we received a qualitative objection to the plan. While we have not yet received the detailed feedback, we recognize we have work to do to meet the standards of CCAR and have begun our remediation efforts. In providing us with feedback the Federal Reserve did approve the continuation of our dividend and share repurchases of approximately \$140 million, consistent with 2015. The capital actions contemplated in the separation of Commercial Air Leasing

Business were not part of the April CCAR submission. In accordance with 12 CFR 225.8(e)(4), CIT has re-submitted its Capital Plan to the Federal Reserve for the capital impacts resulting from the separation, which is currently under review. Given the separation is expected to result in a significant capital action, we do not contemplate executing any share buybacks in advance of its completion.

CIT also collects and reports to the FRB certain capital-related data on a quarterly basis, which the FRB will use to track our progress against the capital plan. Upon full implementation of the CCAR process in 2017, the results of our capital plan and stress tests will be made public. CIT is also required to conduct annual and mid-cycle Company-run stress tests for submission to the FRB, and publicly disclose the test details for certain supervisory scenarios.

The final Basel III framework requires banks and BHCs to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio (“LCR”), is designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity’s expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon under an acute liquidity stress scenario, with a phased implementation process starting January 1, 2015 and complete implementation by January 1, 2019. The final rule applies a modified version of the LCR requirements to bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of greater than \$50 billion but less than \$250 billion. The modified version of the LCR requirement only requires the LCR calculation to be performed on the last business day of each month and sets the denominator (that is, the calculation of net cash outflows) for the modified version at 70% of the denominator as calculated under the most comprehensive version of the rule applicable to larger institutions.

Standardized Approaches Risk-Weighted Asset⁽¹⁾ (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016	
	Exposure Amount	Risk-Weighted Asset Amount
Depository institutions, foreign banks and credit unions exposures	\$2,070.5	\$449.1
GSEs and PSEs exposures	2,049.2	504.0
Corporate exposures	5,706.3	5,725.1
Residential mortgages exposures ⁽²⁾	7,451.5	2,576.2
Statutory multifamily mortgages and pre-sold construction loans exposures	953.2	953.2
HVCRE loans	2,849.5	3,748.8
Past due and non-accrual loans	293.2	413.4
Other assets	55,064.3	50,499.5
Securitization exposures	843.6	1,952.1
Equity exposures	867.7	265.7
Sovereign/Supranational exposures	6,274.9	0.0
Total	\$84,423.7	\$67,087.1

⁽¹⁾ Includes on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet items.

⁽²⁾ Includes past due and non-accrual loans.

Risk Based Capital Ratios (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016	
	CIT	CIT Bank
Common equity tier 1	13.6%	12.6%
Tier 1	13.6%	12.6%
Total capital	14.3%	13.8%
Risk-weighted assets	\$67,087.1	\$35,811.3

CAPITAL CONSERVATION BUFFER

REQUIRED RATIOS

The Basel III Final Rule introduced a new “capital conservation buffer”, composed entirely of Common Equity Tier 1 (“CET1”), on top of these minimum risk-weighted asset ratios. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. This buffer was implemented beginning January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and increases by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

CIT will be required to maintain risk-based capital ratios at January 1, 2019 as follows:

	CET 1	Tier 1 Capital	Total Capital
Stated minimum ratios	4.5%	6.0%	8.0%
Capital conservation buffer	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Effective minimum ratios	7.0%	8.5%	10.5%

As of June 30, 2016, CIT has met the effective minimum ratios, with CET1, Tier 1 Capital and Total Capital ratios of 13.4%, 13.4% and 14.1%, respectively, under the fully-phased in approach.

CREDIT RISK

RISK MANAGEMENT

Lending and Leasing Risk

The extension of credit through our lending and leasing activities is core to our businesses. As such, CIT's credit risk management process is centralized in the Risk Management Group ("RMG"), reporting into the Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") through the Chief Credit Officer ("CCO"). The CCO position is currently comprised of the three risk class chief credit officers. This group establishes the Company's underwriting standards, approves extensions of credit, and is responsible for portfolio management, including credit grading and problem loan management. RMG reviews and monitors credit exposures with the goal of identifying, as early as possible, customers that are experiencing declining creditworthiness or financial difficulty. The CCO evaluates reserves through our allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL") process for performing loans and non-accrual loans, as well as establishing nonspecific reserves to cover losses inherent in the portfolio. CIT's portfolio is managed by setting limits and target performance metrics, and monitoring risk concentrations by borrower, industry, geography and equipment type. We set or modify Credit Standards (underwriting standards) as conditions warrant, based on borrower risk, collateral, industry risk, portfolio size and concentrations, credit concentrations and risk of substantial credit loss. We evaluate our collateral and test for asset impairment based upon collateral value and projected cash flows and relevant market data with any impairment in value charged to earnings.

Using our underwriting policies, procedures and practices, combined with credit judgment and quantitative tools, we evaluate financing and leasing assets for credit and collateral risk during the credit decision-making process and after the advancement of funds. We set forth our underwriting parameters based on: (1) Target Market Definitions, which delineate risk by market, industry, geography and product, (2) Credit Standards, which detail acceptable structures, credit profiles and risk-adjusted returns, and (3) through our corporate credit policies. We capture and analyze credit risk

based on the probability of obligor default ("PD") and loss given default ("LGD"). PD is determined by evaluating borrower creditworthiness, including analyzing credit history, financial condition, cash flow adequacy, financial performance and management quality. LGD ratings, which estimate loss if an account goes into default, are predicated on transaction structure, collateral valuation and related guarantees (including recourse to manufacturers, dealers or governments).

We execute derivative transactions with our customers in order to help them mitigate their interest rate and currency risks. We typically enter into offsetting derivative transactions with third parties in order to neutralize CIT's interest rate and currency exposure to these customer related derivative transactions. The counterparty credit exposure related to these transactions is monitored and evaluated as part of our credit risk management process.

Commercial Lending and Leasing. Commercial credit management begins with the initial evaluation of credit risk and underlying collateral at the time of origination and continues over the life of the finance receivable or operating lease, including normal collection, recovery of past due balances and liquidating underlying collateral.

Credit personnel review potential borrowers' financial condition, results of operations, management, industry, business model, customer base, operations, collateral and other data, such as third party credit reports and appraisals, to evaluate the potential customer's borrowing and repayment ability. Transactions are graded by PD and LGD ratings, as described above. Credit facilities are subject to our overall credit approval process and underwriting guidelines and are issued commensurate with the credit evaluation performed on each prospective borrower, as well as portfolio concentrations. Credit personnel continue to review the PD and LGD ratings periodically. Decisions on continued creditworthiness or impairment of borrowers are determined through these periodic reviews.

Small-Ticket Lending and Leasing. For small-ticket lending and leasing transactions, largely in Equipment Finance, we employ automated credit scoring models for origination (scorecards) and re-grading (auto re-grade algorithms). These are supplemented by business rules and expert judgment. The models evaluate, among other things, financial performance metrics, length of time in business, industry category and geography, and are used to assess a potential borrower's credit standing and repayment ability, including the value of collateral. We utilize external credit bureau scoring, when available, and behavioral models, as well as judgment in the credit adjudication, evaluation and collection processes.

We evaluate the small-ticket leasing portfolio using delinquency vintage curves and other tools to analyze trends and credit performance by transaction type, including analysis of specific credit characteristics and selected subsets of the portfolios. Adjustments to credit scorecards, auto re-grading algorithms, business rules and lending programs are made periodically based on these evaluations. Individual underwriters are assigned credit authority based upon experience, performance and understanding of underwriting policies of small-ticket leasing operations. A credit approval hierarchy is enforced to ensure that an underwriter with the appropriate level of authority reviews applications.

Consumer Lending. Consumer lending begins with an evaluation of a consumer's credit profile against published standards. Loans could be originated as held for investment ("HFI") or held for sale ("HFS"). A loan that is originated as HFS must meet both the credit criteria of the Bank and the investor. At this time, agency eligible loans are originated for sale (Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac) as well as a limited number of Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") loans. Jumbo loans are considered a HFI product. All loan requests are reviewed by underwriters. Credit decisions are made after reviewing qualitative factors and considering the transaction from a judgmental perspective.

Single family residential (1-4) mortgage loans are originated through retail originations and closed loan purchases.

Consumer products use traditional and measurable standards to document and assess the creditworthiness of a loan applicant. Concentration limits are established by the

Board and credit standards follow industry standard documentation requirements. Performance is largely based on an acceptable pay history along with a quarterly assessment, which incorporates an assessment using current market conditions. Non-traditional loans are also monitored by way of a quarterly review of the borrower's refreshed credit score. When warranted an additional review of the underlying collateral may be conducted.

PAST DUE AND NONACCRUAL STATUS

A loan is considered past due for financial reporting purposes if default of contractual principal or interest exists for a period of 30 days or more. Past due loans consist of both loans that are still accruing interest as well as loans on non-accrual status.

Loans are placed on non-accrual status when the financial condition of the borrower has deteriorated and payment in full of principal or interest is not expected or the scheduled payment of principal and interest has been delinquent for 90 days or more, unless the loan or finance lease is both well secured and in the process of collection.

PCI loans are written down at acquisition to their fair value using an estimate of cash flows deemed to be probable of collection. Accordingly, such loans are no longer classified as past due or non-accrual even though they may be contractually past due because we expect to fully collect the new carrying values of these loans.

Due to the nature of reverse mortgage loans (i.e., there are no required contractual payments due from the borrower), they are considered current for purposes of past due reporting and are excluded from reported non-accrual loan balances.

When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, all previously accrued but uncollected interest is reversed. All future interest accruals, as well as amortization of deferred fees, costs, purchase premiums or discounts are suspended. Where there is doubt as to the recoverability of the original outstanding investment in the loan, the cost recovery method is used and cash collected first reduces the carrying value of the loan. Otherwise, interest income may be recognized to the extent cash is collected.

RETURNING LOANS TO ACCRUAL STATUS

Accounts, including accounts that have been modified, are returned to accrual status when, in the opinion of management, collection of remaining principal and interest is reasonably assured, and there is a sustained period of repayment performance for a minimum of six months.

IMPAIRED LOANS

The Company's policy is to review for impairment finance receivables greater than \$500,000 that are on non-accrual status. Consumer and small-ticket loan and lease receivables that have not been modified in a restructuring, as well as short-term factoring receivables, are included (if appropriate) in the reported non-accrual balances, but are excluded from the impaired finance receivables disclosure below as charge-offs are typically determined and recorded for such loans when they are more than 90 – 150 days past due.

Impairment occurs when, based on current information and events, it is probable that CIT will be unable to collect all amounts due according to contractual terms of the agreement. For commercial loans, the Company has established review and monitoring procedures designed to identify, as early as possible, customers that are experiencing financial difficulty. Credit risk is captured and analyzed based on the Company's internal probability of obligor default (PD) and loss given default (LGD) ratings. A PD rating is determined by evaluating borrower credit-worthiness, including analyzing credit history, financial condition, cash flow adequacy, financial performance and management quality. An LGD rating is predicated on transaction structure, collateral valuation and related guarantees or recourse.

Further, related considerations in determining probability of collection include the following:

- Instances where the primary source of payment is no longer sufficient to repay the loan in accordance with terms of the related loan document;
- Lack of current financial data related to the borrower or guarantor;
- Delinquency status of the loan;

- Borrowers experiencing problems, such as operating losses, marginal working capital, inadequate cash flow, excessive financial leverage or business interruptions;
- Loans secured by collateral that is not readily marketable or that has experienced or is susceptible to deterioration in realizable value; and
- Loans to borrowers in industries or countries experiencing severe economic instability.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

Commercial Loans

With respect to commercial portfolios, the Company monitors credit quality indicators, including expected and historical losses and levels of, and trends in, past due loans, non-performing assets and impaired loans, collateral values and economic conditions. Commercial loans are graded according to the Company's internal rating system with respect to probability of default and loss given default (severity) based on various risk factors. The non-specific allowance is determined based on the estimated probability of default, which reflects the borrower's financial strength, and the severity of loss in the event of default, considering the quality of the underlying collateral. The probability of default and severity are derived through historical observations of default and subsequent losses within each risk grading.

A specific allowance is also established for impaired commercial loans and commercial loans modified in a trouble debt restructuring.

Consumer Loans

For residential mortgages, the Company develops a loss reserve factor by deriving the projected lifetime losses then adjusting for losses expected to be specifically identified within the loss emergence period. The key drivers of the projected lifetime losses include the type of loan, type of product, delinquency status of the underlying loans, loan-to-value and/or debt-to-income ratios, geographic location of the collateral, and any guarantees.

For uninsured reverse mortgage loans in continuing operations, an allowance is

established if the Company is likely to experience losses on the disposition of the property that are not reflected in the recorded investment, including the Actuarial Valuation Allowance ("AVA"), as the source of repayment of the loan is tied to the home's collateral value alone. A reverse mortgage matures when one of the following events occur: 1) the property is sold or transferred, 2) the last remaining borrower dies, 3) the property ceases to be the borrower's principal residence, 4) the borrower fails to occupy the residence for more than 12 consecutive months or 5) the borrower defaults under the terms of the mortgage or note. A maturity event other than death is also referred to as a mobility event. The level of any required allowance for loan losses on reverse mortgage loans is based on the Company's estimate of the fair value of the property at the maturity event based on current conditions and trends. The allowance for loan losses assessment on uninsured reverse mortgage loans is performed on a pool basis and is based on the Company's estimate of the future fair value of the properties at the maturity event based on current conditions and trends.

Other Allowance Factors

If commercial or consumer loan losses are reimbursable by the FDIC under the loss sharing agreement, the recorded provision is partially offset by any benefit expected to be derived from the related indemnification asset subject to management's assessment of the collectability of the indemnification asset and any contractual limitations on the indemnified amount.

With respect to assets transferred from HFI to assets held for sale ("AHFS"), a charge-off is recognized to the extent carrying value exceeds the fair value and the difference relates to credit quality.

An approach similar to the allowance for loan losses is utilized to calculate a reserve for losses related to unfunded loan commitments along with deferred purchase commitments associated with the Company's factoring business. A reserve for unfunded loan commitments is maintained to absorb estimated probable losses related to these facilities. The adequacy of the reserve is determined based on periodic evaluations of the unfunded credit facilities, including an assessment of the probability of commitment usage, credit risk factors for loans outstanding to these same customers, and the terms and expiration dates

of the unfunded credit facilities. The reserve for unfunded loan commitments is recorded as a liability on the Consolidated Balance Sheet with net adjustments to the reserve for unfunded loan commitments included in the provision for credit losses.

The allowance policies described above relate to specific and non-specific allowances, and the impaired finance receivables and charge-off policies that follow are applied across the portfolio segments and loan classes therein. Given the nature of the Company's business, the specific allowance is largely related to the Commercial Banking and Transportation Finance segments. The non-specific allowance, which considers the Company's internal system of probability of default and loss severity ratings for commercial loans, among other factors, is applicable to both commercial and consumer portfolios. Additionally, portions of the portfolio also utilize methodologies under ASC 310-30 for PCI loans, as discussed in CIT's 2015 Form 10K in the section titled *Purchased Credit-Impaired Loans* in Financing and Leasing Assets.

CHARGING OFF UNCOLLECTIBLE AMOUNTS

Charge-offs on loans are recorded after considering such factors as the borrower's financial condition, the value of underlying collateral and guarantees (including recourse to dealers and manufacturers), and the status of collection activities. Such charge-offs are deducted from the carrying value of the related finance receivables. This policy is largely applicable in the Commercial Banking, Equipment Finance, Commercial Real Estate, Commercial Services and Transportation Finance loan classes. In general, charge-offs of large ticket commercial loans (\$500 thousand or greater) are determined based on the facts and circumstances related to the specific loan and the underlying borrower and the use of judgment by the Company. Charge-offs of small ticket commercial finance receivables are recorded beginning at 90-150 days of contractual delinquency. Charge-offs of Consumer loans are recorded beginning at 120 days of delinquency. The value of the underlying collateral will be considered when determining the charge-off amount if repossession is assured and in process.

Charge-offs on loans originated are reflected in the provision for credit losses. Charge-offs are recognized on consumer loans for which losses are reimbursable under loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, with a provision benefit recorded to the extent applicable via an increase to the related indemnification asset. In the event of a partial charge-off on loans with a PAA, the charge-off is first allocated to the respective loan's discount. Then, to the extent the charge-off amount exceeds such discount, a provision for credit losses is recorded. Collections on accounts charged off in the post- acquisition or post-emergence periods are recorded as recoveries in the provision for credit losses. Collections on accounts that exceed the balance recorded at the date of acquisition are recorded as recoveries in other income. Collections on accounts previously charged off prior to transfer to AHFS are recorded as recoveries in other income.

CREDIT RISK EXPOSURES

In the following tables, Finance Receivables includes operating leases and excludes discontinued operations.

Finance Receivables Composition (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Investment	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Sale	Total
Commercial Banking			
Commercial Finance	\$8,512.9	\$461.3	\$8,974.2
Real Estate Finance	5,566.1	0.0	5,566.1
Business Capital	6,630.8	10.4	6,641.2
Transportation Finance			
Aerospace	904.4	584.4	1,488.8
Rail	106.9	6.9	113.8
Maritime Finance	1,601.8	29.6	1,631.4
Consumer and Community Banking			
Other Consumer Banking	1,977.1	3.3	1,980.4
Legacy Consumer Mortgages	5,156.8	34.6	5,191.4
Non-Strategic Portfolios	0.0	1,093.1	1,093.1
Total	\$30,456.8	\$2,223.6	\$32,680.4

Finance Receivables by Obligor - Geographic Region (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Investment	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Sale	Total
United States	\$28,243.1	\$563.3	\$28,806.4
Asia / Pacific	994.4	506.5	1,500.9
Europe	446.5	133.5	580.0
Canada	162.4	750.1	912.5
Latin America	164.3	120.2	284.5
All other countries	446.1	150.0	596.1
Total	\$30,456.8	\$2,223.6	\$32,680.4

Finance Receivables by Obligor - Industry (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Investment	Loans and Capital Leases Held for Sale	Total
Bank	\$82.8	\$3.6	\$86.4
Non-bank financial institution	3,136.7	525.8	3,662.5
Corporate	19,954.9	1,453.2	21,408.1
Public ⁽¹⁾	66.6	241.0	307.6
Household ⁽²⁾	7,215.8	0.0	7,215.8
Total	\$30,456.8	\$2,223.6	\$32,680.4

⁽¹⁾ Includes governments, their departments and their agencies.

⁽²⁾ Includes individuals and families.

Impaired Loans (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
United States	\$2,645.7	\$3,841.9	\$37.1
Total	\$2,645.7	\$3,841.9	\$37.1

	June 30, 2016		
	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
Corporate	\$286.9	\$406.9	\$32.0
Household ⁽¹⁾	2,358.8	3,434.9	5.1
Total	\$2,645.7	\$3,841.9	\$37.1

⁽¹⁾ Includes individuals and families.

Finance Receivables on Non-accrual Status (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016
United States	\$219.6
Asia / Pacific	23.0
Canada	22.0
Latin America	14.7
All other countries	3.5
Total	\$282.8

	June 30, 2016
Bank	\$0.3
Non-bank financial institution	19.3
Corporate	235.7
Public ⁽¹⁾	13.4
Household ⁽²⁾	14.1
Total	\$282.8

⁽¹⁾ Includes governments, their departments and their agencies.

⁽²⁾ Includes individuals and families.

Finance Receivables on Past Due Accrual Status⁽¹⁾ (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due
United States	\$165.9	\$32.9	\$198.9
Asia / Pacific	15.1	0.0	15.1
Canada	5.4	0.2	5.6
Total	\$186.4	\$33.1	\$219.6

	June 30, 2016		
	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or Greater	Total Past Due
Bank	\$1.3	\$0.1	\$1.4
Non-bank financial institution	4.5	0.8	5.3
Corporate	128.0	11.3	139.3
Public ⁽²⁾	20.5	0.6	21.1
Household ⁽³⁾	32.1	20.4	52.5
Total	\$186.4	\$33.2	\$219.6

⁽¹⁾ Excludes SOP 03-3 loans.

⁽²⁾ Includes governments, their departments and their agencies.

⁽³⁾ Includes individuals and families.

Charge-Offs (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Gross Charge-offs	Recoveries	Net Charge-offs
Non-bank financial institution	\$16.7	\$0.0	\$16.7
Corporate	83.4	7.3	76.1
Household ⁽¹⁾	1.2	1.6	(0.4)
Total	\$101.2	\$8.9	\$92.3

⁽¹⁾ Includes individuals and families.

Changes in Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016					
	Transportation Finance	Commercial Banking	Consumer & Community Banking	Non- Strategic Portfolios	Corporate and Other	Total
Balance - December 31, 2015	\$39.4	\$310.5	\$10.3	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$360.2
Provision for credit losses	38.3	84.9	4.2	0.0	0.0	127.4
Gross charge-offs	(26.2)	(73.8)	(1.2)	0.0	0.0	(101.2)
Recoveries	0.0	7.3	1.6	0.0	0.0	8.9
Other	(0.2)	(1.3)	5.6	0.0	0.0	4.1
Balance - June 30, 2016	\$51.3	\$327.6	\$20.5	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$399.4

Contractual Maturities of Loans (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016			
	Commercial	Consumer	Foreign	Total
Fixed-rate				
1 year or less	\$3,734.8	\$61.1	\$127.8	\$3,923.7
Year 2	1,311.7	52.7	29.0	1,393.4
Year 3	915.2	62.4	62.2	1,039.8
Year 4	512.3	55.2	21.7	589.1
Year 5	399.5	57.0	35.7	492.2
2-5 years	3,138.7	227.3	148.6	3,514.6
After 5 years	520.4	2,452.9	114.6	3,087.9
Total fixed-rate	7,393.9	2,741.3	391.0	10,526.1
Adjustable-rate				
1 year or less	2,491.8	86.4	313.9	2,892.1
Year 2	2,600.9	97.0	240.7	2,938.5
Year 3	3,518.8	110.7	311.7	3,941.2
Year 4	2,255.4	115.7	409.1	2,780.2
Year 5	1,656.0	120.3	250.7	2,027.0
2-5 years	10,031.1	443.6	1,212.2	11,686.9
After 5 years	2,253.1	4,992.4	329.9	7,575.3
Total adjustable-rate	14,775.9	5,522.4	1,856.0	22,154.3
Total	\$22,169.8	\$8,263.7	\$2,246.9	\$32,680.4

COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK

COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT

We enter into interest rate and currency swaps and foreign exchange forward contracts as part of our overall risk management practices. We establish limits and evaluate and manage the counterparty risk associated with these derivative instruments through our RMG.

The primary risk of derivative instruments is counterparty credit exposure, which is defined as the ability of a counterparty to perform financial obligations under the derivative contract. We seek to control credit risk of derivative agreements through counterparty credit approvals, pre-established exposure limits and monitoring procedures.

The Corporate Credit Committee (“CCC”), in conjunction with Enterprise Risk Management (“ERM”), approves each counterparty and establishes exposure limits based on credit analysis of each counterparty. Derivative agreements entered into for our own risk management purposes are generally entered into with major financial institutions rated investment grade by nationally recognized rating agencies.

We also monitor and manage counterparty credit risk, for example, through the use of exposure limits, related to our cash and investment portfolio, including securities purchased under agreements to resell.

COLLATERAL

Derivative Financial Instruments

CIT is exposed to credit risk to the extent that the counterparty fails to perform under the terms of a derivative. Losses related to credit risk are reflected in other income. The Company manages this credit risk by requiring that all derivative transactions entered into as hedges be conducted with counterparties rated investment grade at the initial transaction by nationally recognized rating agencies, and by setting limits on the exposure with any individual counterparty. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Credit Support Annexes between the Company and its counterparties, CIT may be required to post collateral or may be entitled to receive collateral in the form of cash or highly liquid securities depending on

the valuation of the derivative instruments as measured on a daily basis.

Credit Derivatives

Two financing facilities between two wholly-owned subsidiaries of CIT and Goldman Sachs International (“GSI”) are structured as total return swaps (“TRS”), under which amounts available for advances are accounted for as derivatives.

Pursuant to applicable accounting guidance, only the unutilized portion of the TRS is accounted for as a derivative and recorded at its estimated fair value. The size of the CIT Financial Ltd. (“CFL”) financing facility with GSI is \$1.5 billion and the CIT TRS Funding B.V. (“BV”) financing facility with GSI is \$625 million.

At June 30, 2016, a total of \$1,736 million of assets and secured debt totaling \$1,104 million issued to investors was outstanding under the GSI Facilities. About half of the pledged assets and debt outstanding under the GSI Facilities related to commercial aerospace assets, a business that management is pursuing strategic alternatives for. After adjustment to the amount of actual qualifying borrowing base under the terms of the GSI Facilities, this secured debt provided for usage of \$941 million of the maximum notional amount of the GSI Facilities. The remaining \$1,184 million of the maximum notional amount represents the unused portion of the GSI Facilities and constitutes the notional amount of derivative financial instruments. An unsecured counterparty receivable of \$570 million is owed to CIT from GSI for debt discount, return of collateral posted to GSI and settlements resulting from market value changes to the asset-backed securities underlying the structures at June 30, 2016.

Based on the Company’s valuation, we recorded a liability of \$28 million at June 30, 2016. During the quarter, we recognized \$9 million as an increase to other income associated with the change in liability.

Derivative Financial Instruments (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Notional Amount	Asset Fair Value	Liability Fair Value
Qualifying Hedges			
Foreign currency forward contracts - net investment hedges	\$ 775.2	\$ 7.8	\$ (14.4)
Total Qualifying Hedges	<u>775.2</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>(14.4)</u>
Non-Qualifying Hedges			
Interest rate swaps	4,821.1	109.7	(106.4)
Written options	2,812.8	0.3	(0.1)
Purchased options	2,308.5	0.1	(0.3)
Foreign currency forward contracts	1,034.6	16.5	(5.3)
Total Return Swap (TRS)	1,183.8	0.0	(28.1)
Equity Warrants	1.0	0.0	0.0
Interest Rate Lock Commitments	14.6	0.2	0.0
Credit derivatives	235.9	0.0	(0.6)
Total Non-qualifying Hedges	<u>12,412.3</u>	<u>126.8</u>	<u>(140.8)</u>
Total Hedges	<u>\$13,187.5</u>	<u>\$ 134.6</u>	<u>\$ (155.2)</u>

Cash Collateral Pledged/(Received) (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016					
	Gross Amount of Recognized Assets (Liabilities)	Gross Amount Offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Net Amount Present in the Consolidated Balance Sheet	Gross Amounts not offset in the Consolidated Balance Sheet		
Derivative Financial Instruments				Cash Collateral Pledged/Received (Received)	Net Amount	
Derivative assets	\$134.6	\$0.0	\$134.6	(11.2)	(11.9)	\$111.5
Derivative liabilities	(155.2)	0.0	(155.2)	11.2	105.6	(38.4)

CREDIT RISK MITIGATION

Credit risk is defined as the inherent risk of loss associated with an obligor's or counterparty's failure to meet the terms of any loan, lease or other financing agreement. Credit risk exists with respect to our lending, leasing and/or counterparty activities, with loans and leases representing the largest source of credit risk to CIT. CIT's credit philosophy is to: (1) engage in lending and leasing by utilizing well-structured credit facilities to Obligor's that have an acceptable financial profile and have been underwritten appropriately for the related line of business, (2) structure and approve transactions that conform with sound lending practices, (3) actively manage the credit portfolio, ensuring adequate diversification of risk across Obligor's, risk categories, industries, countries and products, and (4) proactively identify and report weaknesses and promote early detection of potential problem loans, leases and/or industries.

Various risk mitigation practices are used by the company, including the establishment of credit risk appetite measures and limits that define acceptable levels of total borrower exposure, collateral, guarantees and, to a limited extent, credit derivatives.

A key reporting objective is to ensure that the credit portfolios are in compliance with CIT's established risk appetite framework and to identify trends period-over-period. Monitoring and reporting provide an "early warning" of trends and changes (or potential changes) in the portfolios' credit characteristics, and allow management to take appropriate action to mitigate risk.

Examples of collateral that impact the Company's loss given default ("LGD") estimate include, but are not limited to, cash, accounts receivable, inventory, fixed assets, real estate and enterprise valuations. For consumer and residential loans, the Company monitors credit risk based on indicators such as delinquencies, loss severity, and loan-to-value. We monitor trending of delinquency/delinquency rates, loss severity, prepayment as well as non-performing trends for home equity loans and residential real estate loans.

Collateral requirements, including acceptable types of collateral, loan-to-value limits, and collateral margins can be found in the Credit Standards and Industry White Papers.

Collateral valuations must be individually derived and prepared by internal specialists or approved independent third parties and consider potential value volatility. Additionally, collateral liquidation and asset sale estimates must be conservative. Relevant assumptions are reviewed as part of the credit analysis. Real estate appraisals are required for real estate collateral and must be independently ordered and reviewed by CIT's Appraisal Department. Where CIT is not the lead agent, appraisals from the lead agent are to be reviewed by CIT's Appraisal Department.

Potential collateral shortfalls shall be identified. A review of the strategy for managing this risk should be performed during the initial credit analysis stage when assigning the risk rating.

Guarantors can serve as a secondary source of repayment. The primary types of guarantors mitigating credit risk are individuals and business entities.

Existing credit risk mitigants do not qualify under Basel III; therefore, CIT is not currently reducing the risk-weighting of any of our exposures.

SECURITIZATION

VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

Consolidated VIEs

The Company utilizes VIEs in the ordinary course of business to support its own and its customers' financing needs. Each VIE is a separate legal entity and maintains its own books and records.

The most significant types of VIEs that CIT utilizes are 'on balance sheet' secured financings of pools of leases and loans originated by the Company where the Company is the primary beneficiary. The Company originates pools of assets and sells these to special purpose entities, which, in turn, issue debt instruments backed by the asset pools or sells individual interests in the assets to investors. CIT retains the servicing rights and participates in certain cash flows. These VIEs are typically organized as trusts or limited liability companies, and are intended to be bankruptcy remote, from a legal standpoint.

The main risks inherent in structured financings are deterioration in the credit performance of the vehicle's underlying asset portfolio and risk associated with the servicing of the underlying assets.

Lenders typically have recourse to the assets in the VIEs and may benefit from other credit enhancements, such as: (1) a reserve or cash collateral account that requires the Company to deposit cash in an account, which will first be used to cover any defaulted obligor payments, (2) over-collateralization in the form of excess assets in the VIE, or (3) subordination, whereby the Company retains a subordinate position in the secured borrowing, which would absorb losses due to defaulted obligor payments before the senior certificate holders. The VIE may also enter into derivative contracts in order to convert the debt issued by the VIEs to match the underlying assets or to limit or change the risk of the VIE.

With respect to events or circumstances that could expose CIT to a loss, as these are accounted for as on balance sheet, the Company records an allowance for loan losses

for the credit risks associated with the underlying leases and loans. The VIE has an obligation to pay the debt in accordance with the terms of the underlying agreements.

Generally, third-party investors in the obligations of the consolidated VIEs have legal recourse only to the assets of the VIEs and do not have recourse to the Company beyond certain specific provisions that are customary for secured financing transactions, such as asset repurchase obligations for breaches of representations and warranties. In addition, the assets are generally restricted to pay only such liabilities.

Unconsolidated VIEs

Unconsolidated VIEs include GSE securitization structures, private-label securitizations and limited partnership interests where the Company's involvement is limited to an investor interest where the Company does not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to the VIE and limited partnership interests.

As a result of the OneWest Transaction, the Company has certain contractual obligations related to the Home Equity Conversion Mortgage ("HECM") loans and the GNMA HMBS securitizations. The Company, as servicer of these HECM loans, is currently obligated to fund future borrower advances, which include fees paid to taxing authorities for borrowers' unpaid taxes and insurance, mortgage insurance premiums and payments made to borrowers for line of credit draws on HECM loans. In addition, the Company capitalizes the servicing fees and interest income earned and is obligated to fund guarantee fees associated with the GNMA HMBS. The Company periodically pools and securitizes certain of these funded advances through issuance of HMBS to third-party security holders, which did not qualify for sale accounting and rather, are treated as financing transactions. As a financing transaction, the HECM loans and related proceeds from the issuance of the HMBS recognized as secured borrowings remain on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Due to the Company's planned exit of third party servicing, HECM loans of \$413.5 million were included in Assets of discontinued operations and the associated secured borrowing of \$405.8 million (including an unamortized premium balance of \$10.6 million) were included in Liabilities of discontinued operations at June 30, 2016.

As servicer, the Company is required to repurchase the HECM loans once the outstanding principal balance is equal to or greater than 98% of the maximum claim amount or when the property forecloses to Other Real Estate Owned ("OREO"), which reduces the secured borrowing balance. Additionally the Company services \$172.6 million of HMBS outstanding principal balance at June 30, 2016 for transferred loans securitized by IndyMac for which OneWest Bank prior to the acquisition had purchased the mortgage servicing rights ("MSRs") in connection with the IndyMac Transaction. The carrying value of the MSRs was not significant at June 30, 2016. As the HECM loans are federally insured by the FHA and the secured borrowings guaranteed to the investors by GNMA, the Company does not believe maximum loss exposure as a result of its involvement is material or quantifiable.

For Agency and private label securitizations where the Company is not the servicer, the maximum exposure to loss represents the recorded investment based on the Company's beneficial interests held in the securitized assets. These interests are not expected to absorb losses or receive benefits that are significant to the VIE.

As a limited partner, the nature of the Company's ownership interest in tax credit equity investments is limited in its ability to direct the activities that drive the economic performance of the entity, as these entities are managed by the general or managing partner. As a result, the Company was not deemed to be the primary beneficiary of these VIEs.

PRIVATE LABEL MORTGAGE BACKED SECURITIES

Included in CIT's available-for-sale ("AFS") securities and securities carried at fair value are private label mortgage backed securities that are risk-weighted under the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach as a securitized instrument. These security investments, acquired in the OneWest

Transaction, were purchased by OneWest Bank from IndyMac Bank in 2009, with the exception of two securities. One security was purchased as a new issue in 2012, while the other was purchased as a new issue in 2013. The legacy IndyMac securities consist of 2004 – 2007 originations. CIT receives prices from third party dealers for over 99% of the portfolio on a monthly basis, and runs risk analytics and yield calculations using Blackrock's AnSer models.

Securitization Risk Weighted Assets (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016	
	Exposure Amount	Risk-Weighted Asset Amount ⁽¹⁾
Mortgage-backed security exposures:		
Available-for-sale securities	\$523.3	\$1,221.5
Securities carried at fair value	312.6	712.4
Equity investment exposures:	7.6	18.3
Total	\$843.6	\$1,952.1

⁽¹⁾ Based on Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach (SSFA).

EQUITY EXPOSURES

EVALUATION OF INVESTMENTS

Equity securities classified as AFS are carried at fair value with changes in fair value reported in accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI"), a component of stockholders' equity, net of applicable income taxes. Credit-related declines in fair value that are determined to be OTTI are immediately recorded in earnings. Realized gains and losses on sales are included in other income on a specific identification basis, and interest and dividend income on AFS securities is included in other interest and dividends.

Equity securities without readily determinable fair values are generally carried at cost or the equity method of accounting and periodically assessed for OTTI, with the net asset values reduced when impairment is deemed to be other-than-temporary. Equity method investments are recorded at cost, adjusted to reflect the Company's portion of income, loss or dividend of the investee. All other non-marketable equity investments are carried at cost and periodically assessed for OTTI.

An unrealized loss exists when the current fair value of an individual security is less than its amortized cost basis. Unrealized losses that are determined to be temporary in nature are recorded, net of tax, in AOCI for AFS securities, while such losses related to held-to-maturity ("HTM") securities are not recorded, as these investments are carried at their amortized cost. Unrealized losses on securities carried at fair value would be recorded through earnings as part of the total change in fair value.

The Company conducts and documents periodic reviews of all securities with unrealized losses to evaluate whether the impairment is other than temporary. The Company accounts for investment impairments in accordance with ASC 320-10-35-34, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities: Recognition of an Other-Than-Temporary Impairment*. Under the guidance for debt securities, OTTI is recognized in earnings for debt securities that the Company has an intent to sell or that the Company believes it is more-likely-than-not that it will be required to sell prior to the recovery of the amortized cost basis. For debt securities classified as HTM that are

considered to have OTTI that the Company does not intend to sell and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell before recovery, the OTTI is separated into an amount representing the credit loss, which is recognized in other income in the Consolidated Statement of Income, and the amount related to all other factors, which is recognized in other comprehensive income. OTTI on debt securities and equity securities classified as AFS and non-marketable equity investments are recognized in other income in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period determined. Impairment is evaluated and to the extent it is credit related amounts are reclassified out of AOCI to other income. If it is not credit related then, the amounts remain in AOCI.

Amortized cost is defined as the original purchase cost, plus or minus any accretion or amortization of a purchase discount or premium. Regardless of the classification of the securities as AFS or HTM, the Company assesses each investment with an unrealized loss for impairment.

Factors considered in determining whether a loss is temporary include:

- the length of time that fair value has been below cost;
- the severity of the impairment or the extent to which fair value has been below cost;
- the cause of the impairment and the financial condition and the near-term prospects of the issuer;
- activity in the market of the issuer that may indicate adverse credit conditions; and
- the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery.

The Company's review for impairment generally includes identification and evaluation of investments that have indications of possible impairment, in addition to:

- analysis of individual investments that have fair values less than amortized cost, including consideration of the length of time the investment has been in an unrealized loss position and the expected recovery period;
- discussion of evidential matter, including an evaluation of factors or triggers that could cause individual investments to qualify as having OTTI and those that would not support OTTI; and
- documentation of the results of these analyses, as required under business policies.

TYPE OF INVESTMENTS

At June 30, 2016, CIT had \$34.7 million in equity securities available-for-sale and \$279.3 million in non-marketable equity investments. Non-marketable investments include securities of the FRB and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") carried at cost of \$261.3 million at June 30, 2016. The remaining non-marketable investments include ownership interests greater than 3% in limited partnership investments that are accounted for under the equity method, other investments carried at cost, which include qualified Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) investments, equity fund holdings and shares issued by customers during loan work out situations or as part of an original loan investment, totaling \$18.0 million in June 30, 2016.

GAINS(LOSSES)

Total gains on investments, which consists primarily of equities, arising from sales and liquidations was \$2.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2016. Total net unrealized gains on equity securities AFS is considered immaterial. Net unrealized gains/ (losses) on equity securities AFS that is reported in AOCI is excluded from common equity Tier 1 capital.

Risk Weighting Approaches of Equity Exposures (dollars in millions)

	June 30, 2016		
	Risk Weight Category	Exposure Amount	Risk- Weighted Asset Amount
Federal Reserve Bank Stock	0%	\$164.6	\$0.0
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	20%	96.7	19.3
Investments in Unconsolidated Subsidiaries ⁽¹⁾	100%	230.4	230.4
Marketable Equity Securities	300%	0.2	0.7
Non-marketable Equity Securities	400%	11.5	46.1
Investment Funds	Look-through	861.0	218.3
Total		\$1,364.5	\$514.8

⁽¹⁾ Excludes investment that is risk-weighted as a securitization.

INTEREST RATE RISK

RISK MANAGEMENT

CIT is exposed to interest rate and currency risk as a result of its business activities. CIT does not pro-actively assume these risks as a way to make a return, as it does with credit and asset risk. RMG measures, monitors and sets limits on these exposures, by analyzing the impact of potential interest rate and foreign exchange rate changes on financial performance. We consider factors such as customer prepayment trends, maturity, and repricing characteristics of assets and liabilities. Our asset-liability management system provides analytical capabilities to assess and measure the effects of various market rate scenarios upon the Company's financial performance.

Interest rate risk arises from lending, leasing, investments, deposit taking and funding, as assets and liabilities reprice at different times and by different amounts as interest rates change. We evaluate and monitor interest rate risk primarily through two metrics.

- Net Interest Income Sensitivity ("NII Sensitivity"), which measures the net impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on net finance revenue over a twelve month period; and
- Economic Value of Equity ("EVE"), which measures the net impact of these hypothetical changes on the value of equity by assessing the economic value of assets, liabilities and derivatives.

Interest rate risk and sensitivity is influenced primarily by the composition of the balance sheet, driven by the type of products offered (fixed/floating rate loans and deposits), investments, funding and hedging activities. Our assets are primarily comprised of commercial loans, consumer loans, leased equipment, cash and investments. Our leasing products are level/fixed payment transactions, whereas the interest rate on the majority of our commercial loan portfolio is based on a floating rate index such as short-term Libor or Prime. Our consumer loan portfolio is based on both floating rate and level/fixed payment transactions. Our debt securities within the investment portfolio, securities purchased under agreements to

resell and interest bearing deposits (cash) have generally short durations and reprice frequently. We use a variety of funding sources, including certificates of deposit (CDs), savings and checking accounts and secured and unsecured debt. With respect to liabilities, CDs and unsecured debt are fixed-rate, secured debt is a mix of fixed and floating rate, and the rates on savings accounts vary based on the market environment and competition. The composition of our assets and liabilities generally results in a net asset-sensitive position at the shorter end of the yield curve, mostly related to moves in LIBOR, whereby our assets will reprice faster than our liabilities.

Deposits continued to grow as a percent of total funding. CIT Bank, N.A. sources deposits primarily through a retail branch network in Southern California, direct-to-consumer (via the Internet) and brokered channels. The Bank also offers a full range of commercial loan products. At June 30, 2016, the Bank had over \$32 billion in deposits. Certificates of deposit represented over \$18 billion, 55% of the total, most of which were sourced through direct channels. The deposit rates we offer can be influenced by market conditions and competitive factors. Changes in interest rates can affect our pricing and potentially impact our ability to gather and retain deposits. Rates offered by competitors also can influence our rates and our ability to attract and hold deposits. In a rising rate environment, the Bank may need to increase rates to renew maturing deposits and attract new deposits. Rates on our savings account deposits may fluctuate due to pricing competition and may also move with short-term interest rates. In general, retail deposits represent a low-cost source of funds and are less sensitive to interest rate changes than many non-deposit funding sources up to ten years. We regularly stress test the effect of deposit rate changes on our margins and seek to achieve optimal alignment between assets and liabilities from an interest rate risk management perspective.

The table below summarizes the results of simulation modeling produced by our asset/liability management system. The results reflect the percentage change in the EVE and NII Sensitivity over the next twelve months assuming an immediate 100 basis point parallel

increase or decrease in interest rates from the market-based forward curve. NII sensitivity is based on a static balance sheet projection.

	<u>+100 bps</u>	<u>-100 bps</u>
NII Sensitivity	3.9%	-2.2%
EVE	-0.2%	0.0%

As of June 30, 2016, we ran a range of scenarios, including a plus 200 basis point parallel increase scenario, which resulted in an NII Sensitivity of 7.8% and an EVE of (0.1)%, while a 200 basis point decline scenario was not run as the current low rate environment makes the scenario less relevant. Regarding the negative scenarios, we have an assumed rate floor.

As detailed in the above table, NII sensitivity is positive with respect to an increase in interest rates. This is primarily driven by our floating rate loan portfolio (including approximately \$9 billion that are subject to interest rate floors), which reprice frequently, cash and investment securities positions. On a net basis, we generally have more floating/repricing assets than liabilities in the near term. As a result, our current portfolio is more sensitive to moves in short-term interest rates in the near term. Therefore, our Net Finance Revenue (“NFR”) may increase if short-term interest rates rise, or decrease if short-term interest rates decline. Market-implied forward rates over the future twelve months are used to determine a base interest rate scenario for the net interest income projection for the base case. This base projection is compared with those calculated under varying interest rate scenarios such as a 100 basis point parallel rate shift to arrive at NII Sensitivity.

EVE complements net interest income simulation and sensitivity analysis as it estimates risk exposures beyond a twelve month horizon. EVE modeling measures the extent to which the economic value of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments may change in response to a fluctuation in interest rates. EVE is calculated by subjecting the balance sheet to different rate shocks, measuring the net value of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments, and comparing those amounts with the EVE sensitivity base case calculated using a market-based forward interest rate curve. The duration of our liabilities is greater than that of our assets, because we have more fixed rate liabilities than assets in the longer term, causing

EVE to increase under increasing rates and decrease under decreasing rates. The methodology with which the operating lease assets are assessed in the results table above reflects the existing contractual rental cash flows and the expected residual value at the end of the existing contract term.

The simulation modeling for both NII Sensitivity and EVE assumes we take no action in response to the changes in interest rates, while NII Sensitivity also assumes cash flow from portfolio run-off is reinvested in similar products.

A wide variety of potential interest rate scenarios are simulated within our asset/liability management system. All interest sensitive assets and liabilities are evaluated using discounted cash flow analysis. Rates are shocked up and down via a set of scenarios that include both parallel and non-parallel interest rate movements. Scenarios are also run to capture our sensitivity to changes in the shape of the yield curve. Furthermore, we evaluate the sensitivity of these results to a number of key assumptions, such as credit quality, spreads, and prepayments.

Various holding periods of the operating lease assets are also considered. These range from the current existing lease term to longer terms which assume lease renewals consistent with management’s expected holding period of a particular asset. NII Sensitivity and EVE limits have been set and are monitored for certain of the key scenarios. We manage the exposure to changes in NII Sensitivity and EVE in accordance with our risk appetite and within Board approved limits.

We use results of our various interest rate risk analyses to formulate asset and liability management (“ALM”) strategies, in coordination with the Asset Liability Committee, in order to achieve the desired risk profile, while managing our objectives for capital adequacy and liquidity risk exposures. Specifically, we manage our interest rate risk position through certain pricing strategies for loans and deposits, our investment strategy, issuing term debt with floating or fixed interest rates, and using derivatives such as interest rate swaps, which modify the interest rate characteristics of certain assets or liabilities.

These measurements provide an estimate of our interest rate sensitivity; however, they do not account for potential changes in credit quality, size, and prepayment characteristics of our balance sheet. They also do not account for other business developments that could affect net income, or for management actions that could affect net income or that could be taken to change our risk profile. Accordingly, we can give no assurance that actual results would not differ materially from the estimated outcomes of our simulations. Further, the range of such simulations does not represent our current view of the expected range of future interest rate movements.